arXiv:hep-th/9509146v1 26 Sep 1995

HUB-IEP-95/18
hep-th/9509146
September 1995

String-dual F_1-Function in the Three Parameter Model

Gottfried Curio[1,2]
Institut für Physik, Humboldt–Universität,
Invalidenstrasse 110, D–10115 Berlin, Germany

Abstract

Computation of the holomorphic F_1-function describing one-loop gravitational couplings of vectormultiplets is shown to confirm string-string duality of the proposed dual pair consisting of the heterotic string on $K3 \times T^2$ with gauge group $U(1)^4$ and the type IIA string on the Calabi-Yau $WP^4_{1,1,2,8,12}(24)$.

---

[1] E-MAIL: curio@qft2.physik.hu-berlin.de

[2] Supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

In the course of establishing finer tests of string-string duality [1],[2],[3],[4],[5],[6], [7],[8] agreement was found to some orders [11] resp. analytically [14] when comparing the holomorphic F_1-functions - describing one-loop gravitational couplings of vectormultiplets - for the heterotic string on $K3 \times T^2$ with gauge group $U(1)^3$ and the type IIA string on $WP^4_{1,1,2,2,6}(12)$. Here I extend this result to the other main example of [4],[5],[6]: the heterotic string on $K3 \times T^2$ with gauge group $U(1)^4$ (now with both toroidal moduli T and U free and no longer restricted to the diagonal) and the type IIA string on $WP^4_{1,1,2,8,12}(24)$ [15].

The defining polynomial of $WP^4_{1,1,2,8,12}(24)^{-480}_{3,243}$ is

$$p = z_1^{24} + z_2^{24} + z_3^{12} + z_4^3 + z_5^2 + a_0 z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 z_5 + a_1 (z_1 z_2 z_3)^4 + a_2 (z_1 z_2)^{12} \ ,$$

which shows already the deformations of the mirror Calabi-Yau with uniformizing variables at large complex structure[1]

$$x = -\frac{2}{\alpha^2}\psi_0^{-6}\psi_1, \ y = \psi_2^{-2}, \ z = -\frac{1}{4}\psi_1^{-2}\psi_2 \ .$$

For later use let us also record the inverse relations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \psi_0 & \sim & \bar{y}^{-\frac{1}{24}}(\bar{x}^2\bar{z})^{-\frac{1}{12}} \\ \psi_1 & \sim & (\bar{y}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\bar{z}^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \psi_2 & \sim & \bar{y}^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{array}$$

Like [13] I will use discriminant factors shifted compared to [5],[15](we are in the limit $\bar{y} \to 0$)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \tilde{\Delta}_1 & = & \bar{y}^{-1}(\frac{1-\bar{z}}{\bar{z}})^2 \\ \tilde{\Delta}_2 & = & \bar{y}^{-1}(\bar{x}^2\bar{z})^{-2}((1-\bar{x})^2 - \bar{x}^2\bar{z})^2 \\ \tilde{\Delta}_3 & = & \frac{1-\bar{y}}{\bar{y}} \approx \bar{y}^{-1} \ . \end{array}$$

In the limit $\bar{y} \to 0$ the mirror map is given by[2] [5], [16]

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \bar{x} & = & \frac{\alpha}{2}\frac{j+k-\alpha}{jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}} & = q_1 + \sum_{m+n>1} a_{mn} q_1^m q_3^n \\ \bar{y} & = & q_2 f_y(q_1, q_3) + O(q_2^2) & = q_2 \sum_{m+n\geq 1} c_{mn} q_1^m q_3^n + O(q_2^2) \\ \bar{z} & = & (\frac{\alpha}{2})^2 \frac{1}{jk\bar{x}^2} & = q_3 + \sum_{m+n>1} b_{mn} q_1^m q_3^n \ . \end{array}$$

---

[1] I use also the parameters $a_0 = -12\psi_0$, $a_1 = -2\psi_1$, $a_2 = -\psi_2$ and $\bar{x} = \frac{\alpha}{4}x, \ \bar{y} = 4y, \ \bar{z} = 4z$, where $\alpha = j(i) = 1728$.

[2] Here $q_1 := q_T, \ q_3 := q_U/q_T; \ j := j(iT), \ k := j(iU)$.

One has $t_1 = t_T = iT,\ t_2 = 4\pi i S,\ t_3 = t_U - t_T,\ t_U = iU$; so $q_2 = e^{-8\pi^2 S}$, where S is the tree-level dilaton of the heterotic string, and $\bar{y} = e^{-8\pi^2 S^{inv}}$ with the modular invariant dilaton [10], [11].
Now on the heterotic side at weak coupling one has [9],[10]

$$F_1^{het} \sim \log[\bar{y}^{-\alpha}(j-k)^{\beta}(\eta^{-2}(t_T)\eta^{-2}(t_U))^{\gamma}]$$

with $\alpha = 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{24}{4}\beta \\ \gamma &= \frac{b_{grav}}{4}\beta\ , \end{aligned}$$

where $528 = b_{grav} = 48 - \chi$ [11] and $528 = 22 \cdot 24 = 44 \cdot 12$ with $\gamma = 44$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$. Namely in

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{het} &= 24 S^{inv} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \cdot 2 \cdot \log(j-k) - \frac{b_{grav}}{4\pi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \log \eta^2(iT)\eta^2(iU) \\ &= \frac{6}{4\pi^2} \log[\bar{y}^{-2}(j-k)^{\frac{2}{6}}(\eta^{-2}(iT)\eta^{-2}(iU))^{\frac{b_{grav}/2}{6}}] \end{aligned}$$

one has two additional factors of 2 relative to the situation in the diagonal T=U-model[3] of [11],[14], where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{het} &= 24 S^{inv} + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \log(j-\alpha) - \frac{b_{grav}}{4\pi^2} \log \eta^2(iT) \\ &= \frac{6}{4\pi^2} \log[\bar{y}^{-2}(j-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{6}}\eta^{-2}(iT)^{\frac{b_{grav}}{6}}]\ . \end{aligned}$$

The first factor of 2 comes from the different (generic) pole order of $\log(j-k)$ in $\log(T-U)$ compared to that of $\log(j-\alpha)$ in $\log(T-1)$, the second one from the fact that the one-loop quantity $h^{(1)}$ (cf.[10],[11]) has weights (-2,-2) in T and U up to a quadratic polynomial, so one needs for modular invariance $\frac{b_{grav}}{16\pi^2}\log(\eta^2(iT)\eta^2(iU))^2$ with the weight (2,2) quantity inside the logarithm.

On the Calabi-Yau side one gets from the holomorphic anomaly of F_1 [12] that up to an additive constant (cf.[11] , [13], [14])

$$F_1^{II} = \log[(\frac{24\psi_0}{\omega_0})^{3+3-\frac{\chi}{12}} \frac{\partial(\psi_0,\psi_1,\psi_2)}{\partial(T,S,U)} f]$$

---

[3] Note that in the process of restriction to the diagonal the possible symmetry enhancement at $T = U = \rho$ is lost as after the step $U(1)^2 \rightarrow SU(2) \times U(1)$ is done the SU(3) is out of reach. So the results in the two parameter model are not a simple truncation of the case considered here.

with a holomorphic function f and the fundamental period $\omega_0 = (E_4(T)E_4(U))^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Note that ψ_0/ω_0 is regular and $\neq 0$ at $\psi_0 \approx 0$ since one has $\psi_0 \sim (jk)^{12} \sim (E_4(T)E_4(U))^{\frac{1}{4}}$ as far as the behaviour relevant here is concerned. So f is of the form $f = \tilde{\Delta}_1^a \tilde{\Delta}_2^b \tilde{\Delta}_3^d \psi_0^c$.

At first sight the form of these factors of f looks as if here additional enhancements besides the diagonal one (and its further ramifications) known from the heterotic side could occur, but in view of the crucial relation[4] $\tilde{\Delta}_1\tilde{\Delta}_2 \sim (j-k)^4$ we just arrive at the prediction that one must have $a = b$.

Now one has $\frac{\partial(\bar{x},\bar{y},\bar{z})}{\partial(\psi_0,\psi_1,\psi_2)} \sim \psi_0^{-7}\psi_1^{-2}\psi_2^{-2}$ and $\frac{\partial(\bar{x},\bar{y},\bar{z})}{\partial(T,S,U)} \sim \bar{y}(\bar{x}_U\bar{z}_T - \bar{x}_T\bar{z}_U)$, where $\bar{x}_T = -j_T \cdot \frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{j+k-\alpha} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}}{\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}} \sim -j_T \cdot \frac{1}{jk} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}}{\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}}$ and $\bar{z}_T = -\frac{j_T}{j}\bar{z} - 2\bar{z}\frac{\bar{x}_T}{\bar{x}}$, so with the relation $j_T^2 \sim j(j-\alpha)E_4$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial(\psi_0,\psi_1,\psi_2)}{\partial(T,S,U)} &\sim \psi_0^7\psi_1^2\psi_2^2\bar{y}\frac{\bar{z}}{jk}j_T k_U \frac{j-k}{\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}} \\
&\sim \bar{y}^{-\frac{3}{4}}\frac{j-k}{\sqrt{jk}}\omega_0^2\psi_0.
\end{aligned}$$

The behaviour of the jacobian near $\psi_0 = 0$ restricts c to 3 because (up to the $\bar{y}$-dependence) the jacobian behaves there in ψ_0 as $(j-k)(\psi_0^{12})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi_0^2\psi_0$.

So one is led to

$$F_1^{II} \;=\; \log[\bar{y}^{-[2+(\frac{c+1+16}{24}+a+b+d)]}(\omega_0^2)^{-22}(jk)^{\frac{40+c+1}{12}}(j-k)(\frac{1-\bar{z}}{\bar{z}})^{2a}(\frac{(1-\bar{x})^2-\bar{x}^2\bar{z}}{\bar{x}^2\bar{z}})^{2b}] \,.$$

To determine a, b, d let us at the large radius limit compare this to the topological intersection numbers. In the two steps $U \to \infty$ ($\Rightarrow \bar{z} \to 0$) and then $T \to \infty$, one has (up to the $\bar{y}$-dependence and numerical factors)

$$\begin{array}{rcll}
j-k & \to & k & \to k \\
\bar{x} & \to & \dfrac{1}{j+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}} & \to \dfrac{1}{j} \\
\bar{z} & \to & \bar{z} & \to \dfrac{j^2}{jk} \\
\tilde{\Delta}_1 & \to & \bar{z}^{-2} & \to (\dfrac{k}{j})^2 \\
\tilde{\Delta}_2 & \to & \dfrac{(\bar{x}^{-1}-1)^4}{\bar{z}^2} & \to (jk)^2 \,,
\end{array}$$

so

---

[4] Cf. the direct computation in the Appendix; a conceptual argument can also be given (cf. also [16]).

$$F_1^{II} \rightarrow \log[\bar{y}^{-[2+(\frac{5}{6}+a+b+d)]}(jk)^{\frac{44}{12}}k(\frac{k}{j})^{2a}(jk)^{2b}] \, ,$$

which gives for the large radius limit

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{2\pi i}{12}[(24+12(\frac{5}{6}+a+b+d))t_2+(44+12(2b-2a))t_T+(44+12(1+2a+2b))t_U] \\ = & -\frac{2\pi i}{12}[(24+12(\frac{5}{6}+a+b+d))t_2+(2\cdot 44+12(1+4b))t_1+(44+12(1+2a+2b))t_3] \, . \end{aligned}$$

Now compare (as [13]) this with[5]

$$-\frac{2\pi i}{12}[c_2\cdot J\, t_1 \; + c_2 \cdot \frac{J-2D-E}{4} t_2 \; + c_2 \cdot \frac{J-E}{2} t_3] \, ,$$

where [15] J is the hyperplane class of weight 4 (cf.[17]), L the K_3-fibre belonging to the linear system of degree one polynomials, D the elliptic ruled surface of the resolved singular curve and E the Hirzebruch surface of the resolution of the special point on the singular curve. Now(cf.[13]) $c_2 \cdot X = c_2(X) - X^3$, so with $c_2 \cdot L = 24 - 0$, $c_2 \cdot D = 0 - 0$, $c_2 \cdot E = 4 - 8$, one gets $a = b$, $a = -1/6$, $d = -1/2$, i.e. (using $j = E_4^3/\eta^{24}$)

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{II} &= \log[\bar{y}^{-2}(\omega_0^2)^{-22}(jk)^{\frac{44}{12}}(j-k)^{1+4a}] \\ &= \log[\bar{y}^{-2}(j-k)^{1/3}(\eta^{-2}(iT)\eta^{-2}(iU))^{44}] \, , \end{aligned}$$

which matches the actual heterotic values.
To get also their cohomological meaning just note (besides the direct observation $\alpha = \chi_{K3}/12$) that you get from the general ansatz in α, β, γ that[6]

$$\begin{aligned} (c+1-\frac{\chi}{12})(12\frac{\beta}{\gamma}+2) = 12\beta + 2\gamma = c_2\cdot J = 4\chi_{K3} + c_2(E) - E^3 = 4\chi_{K3} + 2\chi_{P^1} - 4\chi_{P^1} \\ = 2\cdot 2(\chi_{K3}-\chi_{P^1}) + 2\chi_{P^1} = 2(4-\frac{\chi}{12}) + 2\chi_{P^1} \, , \end{aligned}$$

so indeed $\frac{\gamma}{\beta} = \frac{b_{grav}}{4}$ (and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{\chi_{K3}}{12} \cdot \frac{b_{grav}/4}{\gamma} = \frac{\chi_{K3}}{4}$ as $\gamma = c + 1 - \frac{\chi_{K3}}{12} = \frac{b_{grav}}{12}$).
This agrees with the meaning of the numbers on the heterotic side.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank E. Derrick, G. Lopes-Cardoso , D. Lüst for discussions.

---

[5] Note that in [15] (A.38) you have to read $\frac{1}{4} < u, l^{(2)} + 2l^{(3)} >$ in front of $e_{\nu_6^*}$ and to overcross in the identification of $-e_{\nu_6^*}$, $-e_{\nu_7^*}$ and h_D , h_E.

[6] In the last transformation of the following equation series I use that (because of the K3-fibration) one has $\chi = (\chi_{P^1} - 24)\chi_{K3} + 24(0 - 1 + \chi_{P^1} + 1)$ so $-\frac{\chi}{12} = -4 + 2\chi_{K3} - 2\chi_{P^1}$; here the $+1$ comes from the possibility of having $z_3 = z_4 = z_5 = 0$ and the $-1 + \chi_{P^1}$ from the replacement of the special point of the elliptic curve by the base of its resolving Hirzebruch surface.

Appendix

The relation $\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}_1}\; sqrt\tilde{\Delta}_2 \sim (j-k)^2$ is shown:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1-\bar{z}}{\bar{z}} \times \frac{(1-\bar{x})^2-\bar{x}^2\bar{z}}{\bar{x}^2\bar{z}} \\
\sim\; & jk(\frac{j+k-\alpha}{jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}})^2 \frac{jk(j+k-\alpha)^2-(jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)})^2}{jk(j+k-\alpha)^2} \times \\
& jk[\frac{(jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}-\frac{\alpha}{2}(j+k-\alpha))^2}{(jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)})^2}-\frac{\alpha^2}{4jk}] \\
=\; & jk(\frac{j+k-\alpha}{jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}})^2 \frac{j^2+k^2-\alpha(j+k)-2\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}}{(j+k-\alpha)^2} \times \\
& jk[\frac{\alpha}{2}\frac{jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}-\frac{\alpha}{2}(j+k-\alpha)}{(jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)})^2}\frac{2}{\alpha}(jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)} \\
& -\frac{\alpha}{2}(j+k-\alpha))-\frac{\alpha^2}{4jk}] \\
=\; & jk(\frac{j+k-\alpha}{jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}})^2(\frac{\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}-\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}}{j+k-\alpha})^2 \times \\
& jk[\frac{\alpha}{4jk}\frac{2}{\alpha}(jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}-\frac{\alpha}{2}(j+k-\alpha))-\frac{\alpha^2}{4jk}] \\
=\; & jk(\frac{j+k-\alpha}{jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}})^2(\frac{j(j-\alpha)-k(k-\alpha)}{(j+k-\alpha)(\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}+\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)})})^2 \times \\
& \frac{1}{4}[2jk+2\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}-\alpha(j+k)] \\
\sim\; & jk(\frac{j+k-\alpha}{jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}})^2(\frac{(j-k)(j+k-\alpha)}{(j+k-\alpha)(\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}+\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)})})^2 \times \\
& [2jk+2\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}-\alpha(j+k)] \\
=\; & (j-k)^2 jk(\frac{j+k-\alpha}{(jk+\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)})(\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}+\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)})})^2 \times \\
& [2jk+2\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}-\alpha(j+k)] \\
=\; & (j-k)^2 jk(\frac{j+k-\alpha}{(jk+k(k-\alpha))\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}+(jk+j(j-\alpha))\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}})^2 \times \\
& [2jk+2\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}-\alpha(j+k)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (j-k)^2 jk\Big(\frac{1}{k\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}+j\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}}\Big)^2 \times [2jk+2\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}-\alpha(j+k)] \\
&= (j-k)^2 \frac{1}{k(j-\alpha)+j(k-\alpha)+2\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}} \times \\
&\quad [2jk+2\sqrt{j(j-\alpha)}\sqrt{k(k-\alpha)}-\alpha(j+k)] \\
&= (j-k)^2 \, .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here in going to the fourth line the relation $\frac{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})}{j+k-\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{4jk}$ was used.

References

1. M. Duff, Nucl. Phys. **B442** (1995) 47, hep-th/9501030.
2. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B443** (1995) 85, hep-th/9503124.
3. S. Ferrara, J. Harvey, A. Strominger, C. Vafa, hep-th/9505162.
4. S. Kachru, C. Vafa, hep-th/9505105.
5. A. Klemm, W. Lerche, P. Mayr, hep-th/9506112.
6. S. Kachru, A. Klemm, W. Lerche, P. Mayr, C. Vafa, hep-th/9508155.
7. I. Antoniadis, E. Gava, K.S. Narain, T.R. Taylor, hep-th/9507115.
8. I. Antoniadis, H. Partouche, hep-th/9509009.
9. G. Lopes-Cardoso, D. Lüst, T. Mohaupt, Nucl. Phys. **B450** (1995) 115.
10. B. de Wit, V. Kaplunovsky, J. Louis, D. Lüst, hep-th/9504006.
11. V. Kaplunovsky, J. Louis, S. Theisen, hep-th/9506110.
12. M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri, C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. **B405** (1993) 279; Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994) 31.
13. P. Candelas, X.C. de la Ossa, A. Font, S. Katz, D. Morrison, Nucl. Phys. **B416** (1994) 481.
14. G.Curio, hep-th/9509042.
15. S.Hosono, A. Klemm, S. Theisen, S.-T. Yau, Comm. Math. Phys. **167** (1995) 301.
16. B.H.Lian, S.-T. Yau, hep-th/9507151, hep-th/9507153.
17. P. Berglund, S. Katz, Nucl. Phys. **B420** (1994) 289.